

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,127	03/11/2004	Noam Sorek		8242	
7590 09/26/2007 NOAM SOREK			EXAMINER		
15 HANOTRIN	15 HANOTRIM STREET ZICHRON YAACOV, 30900			KASSA, YOSEF	
ISRAEL	ACOV, 30900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· <u> </u>	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 NATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI a cause the application to become A g date of this communication, even if the second of the seco	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If timely filed, may reduce any
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	Examiner YOSEF KASSA Pears on the cover sheet were she	Z624 ZITH the correspondence address ZIONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ICATION. Reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). It timely filed, may reduce any
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOV a, cause the application to become A g date of this communication, even if the section is non-final. In action is non-final.	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If timely filed, may reduce any
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 NATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI a cause the application to become A g date of this communication, even if the second of the seco	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If timely filed, may reduce any
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M 2a) This action is FINAL.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR b, cause the application to become A g date of this communication, even if March 2004. B action is non-final. Ince except for formal mat Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E.	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). f timely filed, may reduce any tters, prosecution as to the merits is
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M 2a) This action is FINAL.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR b, cause the application to become A g date of this communication, even if March 2004. B action is non-final. Ince except for formal mat Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E.	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). f timely filed, may reduce any tters, prosecution as to the merits is
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 M</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[•
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[•
~_ <i>~</i> _	nce except for formal mat Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Gertified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/11/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/799,127

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objection

1. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims 15 and 16. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florent et al (U.S. Patent 6,574,300), and further in view of Nio et al (U.S. Patent 6,738,528).

With regard to claim 1, Florent discloses acquiring image data relating to a plurality of consecutive images (please refer to col. 2, lines 45-50);

determining a local motion factor relating to the consecutive images by specifically processing the consecutive images in a predetermined manner (refer to col. 2, lines 1-3) in order to obtain an image mask that represents information about local motion (refer to col. 3, lines 8-11);

processing the consecutive images (col. 2, lines 13-17). Florent does not disclose expressly for incorporating the image mask, to obtain final usable image

Application/Control Number: 10/799,127

Art Unit: 2624

information. However, at the same field of endeavor, Nio discloses this feature (please refer to col. 12, lines 50-56). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching Nio image masking process into Florent system. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide an image masking system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Nio with Florent to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

With regard to claim 2, Florent discloses wherein the step of processing the consecutive images in a predetermined manner in order to obtain information about the local motion factor comprising using spatial and temporal filters (refer to col. 2, lines 12-15).

With regard to claim 3, Florent discloses wherein the spatial and the temporal filters are employed on the mask (refer to col. 2, lines 10-17).

With regard to claim 4, Florent discloses wherein the plurality of consecutive images are acquired in different conditions (refer to col. 2, lines 50-54).

With regard to claim 5, Florent discloses the plurality of consecutive images are acquired using different exposure times (refer to col. 3, lines 37-41).

With regard to claim 6, Florent discloses wherein the plurality of consecutive images are acquired using different aperture (refer to col. 2, lines 45-53).

With regard to claim 7, Florent discloses wherein the plurality of consecutive images are acquired using different focusing distance (refer to Fig. 5B).

With regard to claim 8, Florent discloses carried out in an image domain (refer to col. 7, lines 54-58).

With regard to claim 9, Florent discloses failed to discloses carried out in a

Application/Control Number: 10/799,127

Art Unit: 2624

compressed image domain. However, at the same field of endeavor, Noi discloses this feature (please refer to col. 2, lines 45-49). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching Noi image encoding system into Florent system. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide image compress or encoding system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Noi with Florent to obtain the invention as specified in claim 9.

With regard to claim 10, Florent failed to discloses the compressed image domain is JPEG or MPEG. However, at the same field of endeavor, Noi discloses this feature (please refer to col. 25, lines 18-22). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching Noi image encoding system into Florent system. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide MPEG image compress system.

With regard to claim 11, Florent discloses failed to discloses before the step of determining a local motion factor the image data undergoes color desaturation. However, at the same field of endeavor, Noi discloses this feature (please refer to col. 22, lines 58-63). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching Noi color image processing system. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide color image noise eliminating process.

Claim 12 is similarly analyzed and rejected the same as claim 1.

Claim 13 is similarly analyzed and rejected the same as claim 2.

Claim 14 is similarly analyzed and rejected the same as claim 11.

With regard to claims 15 and 16, Florent discloses enhancing imaging in low light

conditions substantially as described in the present specification, accompanying drawings and appeding claims (refer to col. 5, lines 15-20).

Other Prior Art Cited

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. (6819796), (6259823), (6185320), (6226045) and (5883983).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOSEF KASSA whose telephone number is (571) 272-7452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communication and (571) 273-8300 for after Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2624

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). 09/18/2007.

YOSEF KASSA

PRIMARY XAMINER